

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

JAMIME PERALES FLORES,
Plaintiff,
vs.
WALLA WALLA POLICE,
Defendants.

NO. CV-06-166-MWL

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION, DENYING
APPLICATION TO PROCEED *IN FORMA*
PAUPERIS AND DISMISSING ACTION

Magistrate Judge Leavitt Imbrogno filed a Report and Recommendation on August 29, 2006, recommending Mr. Perales Flores's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* be denied and the action dismissed as Plaintiff's allegations were legally frivolous. See *O'Loughlin v. Doe*, 920 F.2d 614, 617 (9th Cir. 1990); *Tripati v. First Nat'l Bank & Trust*, 821 F.2d 1368, 1369-70 (9th Cir. 1987) ("A district court may deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis at the outset if it appears from the face of the proposed complaint that the action is frivolous or without merit.").

Nothing in federal law precludes local law enforcement officials from arresting individuals for violations of 8 U.S.C. § 1325. There being no objections, the court **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation. The Application to Proceed *in forma pauperis* (Ct. Rec. 2) is **DENIED**

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION, DENYING APPLICATION TO
PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* AND DISMISSING ACTION -- 1

1 and the action is **DISMISSED with prejudice.**

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The District Court Executive is directed to
3 enter this Order, enter judgment, forward a copy to Plaintiff at his
4 last known address and close the file.

5 **DATED** this 2nd day of October, 2006.

6
7 s/ Fred Van Sickle
8 FRED VAN SICKLE
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26